A Comparative Study of Management of Supracondylar Fracture of Humerus in Children by Two Techniques
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ABSTRACT
Background: Supracondylar humerus fractures in children are very common. The present study aimed in comparing the two techniques of management of supracondylar humerus fracture in children.

Materials & Methods: This prospective study was conducted in the department of orthopaedics in 2014. It included 52 cases with closed Gartland type 3 fractures. Patients were divided into 2 groups. Group I- includes 26 patients which were operated by closed pinning method under short general anaesthesia. Group II- includes 26 patients which were operated by open pinning method under general anaesthesia with intubation.

Results: Out of 52 patients, 26 are in group I and 26 are in group II. Both group included equal (13) numbers of male and females. Reason for fracture was fall from bicycle (20), fall from tree (7) and fall while playing (25). The difference was statistical significant. Excellent results were found in 14 patients in group I and 8 patients in group II. Good results were seen in 6 patients in group I and 7 patients in group II. 4 patients in group I and 5 patients in group II showed fair results. 2 and 6 patients showed poor results in group I and II respectively. The procedure time and discharge time was less in group I as compared to group II.

Conclusion: Closed reduction with K wire fixation has better results as compared to open reduction and K wire fixation.
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INTRODUCTION
Supracondylar humerus fractures in children account for 60 % cases in elbow. Incidence is more in patients less than 10 years and decreases drastically as the age advances. Extension type is more common than flexion type. It is caused by fall on the outstretched hand with elbow joint in hyperextension, thus pushing the distal fragment posteriorly.¹

The supracondylar fracture of humerus demand great respect in treatment because if it is not treated properly it may give rise to many complications such as Volkman’s ischemic contracture, neurovascular injury, myositis ossificans, stiffness of elbow and malunion.²

Various modalities of treatment have been proposed for the treatment of displaced supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children, such as closed reduction and plaster of paris slab application, skin traction, overhead skeletal traction, closed reduction and percutaneous pin fixation and open reduction with internal fixation.³ Displaced supracondylar fractures of humerus in children have always posed a challenge to the surgeons. Closed reduction with splint or cast immobilization and treatment with traction has traditionally been recommended for displaced supracondylar fractures, but difficulty in reduction, loss of reduction postoperatively or during follow-up leads to malunion and elbow stiffness.³

The present study was conducted to compare Gartland type 3 supracondylar fracture in children treated by 2 methods-Closed reduction and pinning and Open reduction and pinning.

MATERIALS & METHODS
This prospective study was conducted in the department of orthopaedics in 2014. It included 52 cases with closed Gartland type 3 fractures. Patients were divided into 2 groups.

Group I: Includes 26 patients which were operated by closed pinning method under short general anaesthesia.

Group II: Includes 26 patients which were operated by open pinning method under general anaesthesia with intubation.

Closed reduction was attempted under i.v sedation to prevent neurovascular compromise followed by radiographs to assess reduction of fracture and to assess chest in every patient.
K wires of various diameter ranging from 1.5 to 2 mm were used. In group I, closed reduction was done and fracture was fixed with either 2 k wires one from each condyle with an angle of 30 to 40 degrees with humeral shaft and 10 degree posteriorly or with 2 k wires from lateral condyle. The k wires were removed after 3 weeks. In Group 2 patients, fracture was reduced with traction and clamps. Fixation was done with k wires. K wires were removed at 3 weeks and slab continued for 1 more week. Patients were on follow up, and the following points were noted: Clinical-range of motion, change in carrying angle, neurovascular problems, and wound complications. Results obtained were subjected to statistical analysis. Chi – square test was used. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Table I: Distribution of Patients in Both Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL - 52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUP I (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Patients Response Based On FLYNN’S§ Grading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>GROUP I</th>
<th>GROUP II</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXCELLENT</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Procedure Time & Discharge Time In Both Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCEDURE TIME</th>
<th>DISCHARGE TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUP I</td>
<td>GROUP II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCEDURE TIME</td>
<td>25 MINUTES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCHARGE TIME</td>
<td>1ST DAY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Table I shows that out of 52 patients, 26 are in group I and 26 are in group II. Both group included equal (13) numbers of male and females.

Graph I shows that out of 52 patients, 30 ranged from 3-5 years, 10 ranged from 8-12 years and 12 ranged from 13-15 years of age. There was statistical significant difference in number of patients in different age group.

Graph II shows that reason for fracture was fall from bicycle (20), fall from tree (7) and fall while playing (25). The difference was statistical significant.

Table II shows excellent results were found in 14 patients in group I and 8 patients in group II. Good results were seen in 6 patients in group I and 7 patients in group II. 4 patients in group I and 5 patients in group II showed fair results. 2 and 6 patients showed poor results in group I and II respectively. The difference was statistical significant.

Table III shows that in group I, procedure time was 25 minutes and in group II 70 minutes. The discharge time in group I and II was 1st day and 5th day respectively. The difference was highly significant.

Graph I: Age Wise Distribution Of Patients
DISCUSSION
Supracondylar fracture of humerus is the commonest injury around elbow in children. It demands great respect in treatment because if it is not treated properly, it may give rise to neurovascular compromise, difficulty in obtaining or maintaining reduction and poor late results because of stiffness of elbow or malunion. Most frequently used methods of treatment are closed reduction and application of cast, skeletal traction, closed reduction and percutaneous K-wire fixation and open reduction and internal fixation with K-wires. The present study included 52 children. They were divided into group I and group II. In group I, closed reduction and K wire fixation was done and in group II, open reduction and internal fixation with K wire was done. Out of 52 patients, 30 ranged from 3-5 years, 10 ranged from 8-12 years and 12 ranged from 13-15 years of age. Reason for fracture was fall from bicycle (20), fall from tree (7) and fall while playing (25). Mehserle's work in his study also found fracture resulting from playing as a main reason of humerus fracture. Results in both groups were compared by flynn's grading. Excellent results were found in 14 patients in group I and 8 patients in group II. Good results were seen in 6 patients in group I and 7 patients in group II. 4 patients in group I and 5 patients in group II showed fair results. 2 and 6 patients showed poor results in group I and II respectively. Holmberg's work in his study found 56% excellent/good result and in our study it was 76% in group I and 57% in group II. 2. Kurer and Regan had 62.9% excellent/good results. Gruber and Hudson had 65.3% excellent/good results.

CONCLUSION
Author concluded that the procedure time and discharge time was less in group I as compared to group II. Thus, closed reduction with K wire fixation has better results as compared to open reduction and K wire fixation.
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