

Study of Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Known Cases of Type- 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Hospital Based Study

Vinayak Damgude¹, Jaishree Singh^{2*}, Ashok Kumar Meena³

¹M.S., ^{2*}Professor, ³Professor and Head,
Department of Ophthalmology, GMC, Kota, Rajasthan, INDIA.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is emerging as one of the important causes of blindness in both developing and developed countries. The emerging scenario in the developing world suggests that diabetes and blindness secondary to diabetic retinopathy may soon be a major problem. Annual retinal examination and early detection of DR can considerably reduce the risk of visual loss in diabetic individuals. Our aim of study is to examine the prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in known case of type-2 Diabetes mellitus.

Materials and Methods: A total of 213 patients with Diabetes mellitus type- 2 were examined. Patients with other significant systemic diseases/conditions were excluded from the study. Patient's fundus was examined and classified as no retinopathy or diabetic retinopathy further classified on basis of severity of retinopathy and duration of diabetes.

Results: In our study out of 213 diabetics, 45.53% (97 patients) had no retinopathy compared to 54.47% (116 patients) had retinopathy. Retinopathy and maculopathy appeared to be prevalent more in males (sex ratio 3:2). In a study by Pradeepa et al (2008) revealed that male gender, duration of diabetes, glycated hemoglobin, macroalbuminuria

and insulin therapy were significantly associated with severity of DR. Severity of diabetic retinopathy was seen on rising side as the duration of diabetes increases.

Conclusion: It is recommended that to prevent Diabetic Retinopathy which is microvascular complication of diabetes; early diagnosis, early initiation of treatment, good control of diabetes and regular follow-up is a must.

Keywords: Diabetic Retinopathy, Prevalence.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Jaishree Singh, 116- E, Shrinathpuram- E, Kota, Rajasthan.
Email: singhjaishree28@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 14-04-2016, Revised: 22-04-2016, Accepted: 26-04-2016

Access this article online

Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code 
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.3.015	

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy is emerging as one of the important causes of blindness in both developing and developed countries. WHO has estimated that number of total diabetics in the world will increase to 300 million in 2025 and to be greatest in India nearly 195% increase to 54 million in 2025. Recent reports have shown the prevalence to be in the range of 12 to 14% in urban population, of these 20% are expected to be suffering from diabetic retinopathy.¹ CURES² study showed that major systemic risk factors for onset and progression of Diabetic retinopathy are duration of diabetes, degree of glycaemic control and hyperlipidaemia. There is evidence that DR begins to develop years before the clinical diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. DR is present in 7% of newly diagnosed subjects, hence routine retinal screening for DR even at the time of diagnosis of type-2 diabetes may help in optimized laser therapy.

Annual retinal examination and early detection of DR can considerably reduce the risk of visual loss in diabetic individuals.³ Unfortunately India has very few figures for diabetic retinopathy as a cause of blindness. Our aim of study is to examine the prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in known case of type-2 Diabetes mellitus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 213 patients with Diabetes mellitus type- 2 were examined. Patients with other significant systemic diseases/ conditions eg. Hypertension, tuberculosis, pregnancy, other inflammatory conditions of retina was excluded from the study. Patient's fundus was examined and classified as no retinopathy or diabetic retinopathy, further classified on basis of severity of retinopathy as mild, moderate, severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy and proliferative diabetic retinopathy and advanced diabetic eye disease with or without clinically significant macular edema. Patients were divided into 5 groups on basis of duration of disease as 0-5 years, 5-10 years, 10-15 years, 15-20 years and 20 years or more.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diabetes mellitus is on increase and seems to be emerging as a major public health problem for our country. As per studies Diabetic retinopathy is the most common cause of preventable blindness between 0 to 65 years of age.⁴ In our study out of 213 diabetics, 45.53% (97 patients) had no retinopathy compared to 54.47% (116 patients) had retinopathy (Table 1). In relation to

gender out of 114 males, 71 had diabetic retinopathy and 31 had maculopathy but out of 99 females only 45 had retinopathy and 20 had maculopathy (Table 2). So retinopathy and maculopathy appeared to be prevalent more in males (sex ratio 3:2) in consonance with studies like CURES², Hyderabad study.⁵ In a study by Pradeepa et al⁶ revealed that male gender, duration of diabetes, glycosylated hemoglobin, macroalbuminuria and insulin therapy were significantly associated with severity of DR. Severity of diabetic retinopathy was seen on rising side as the duration of diabetes increases, only 36.84% of patients having diabetes for 0-5 years developed retinopathy compared with 100% of patients had retinopathy who have diabetes for 15years or more (Table 3) supported by study of McKay et al⁷ who reported retinopathy was positively associated with a longer duration of diabetes diagnosis and with higher fractions of glycosylated hemoglobin. Statistical analysis methods showed that patients not taking treatment for

diabetes were more likely to develop more severe forms of diabetic retinopathy (17.64% and 29.41% had proliferative DR and advanced diabetic eye disease respectively) than those taking treatment (5.61% and 5.10% had proliferative DR and advanced diabetic eye disease respectively) similar correlation was found out in Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)⁸ in which intensive control therapy slowed the progression of retinopathy by 54% and reduced the development of severe nonproliferative retinopathy by 47%. No statistically significant difference was observed in various age groups (Table 4).

So age of patient is not a determinant of occurrence of diabetic retinopathy but duration of diabetes is a significant risk factor for retinopathy. The need of the hour is therefore to control diabetes with appropriate treatment as early as possible to prevent the occurrence of one of the important microvascular complication which is diabetic retinopathy.

Table 1: Severity of Diabetic Retinopathy

Type of DR	No DR	Mild NPDR	Moderate NPDR	Severe NPDR	PDR	ADED	Total
No. of patients	97	46%	22	27	11	10	213
Percentage	45.5%	21.59%	10.32%	12.67%	5.16%	4.69%	100%

DR- Diabetic Retinopathy; NPDR- Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy; PDR- Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy; ADED- Advanced Diabetic Eye Disease

Table 2: Effect of gender over occurrence of Diabetic Retinopathy

Gender	Retinopathy Present(a)	Retinopathy Absent(b)	Maculopathy	Total (a+b)
Male	71	43	31	114
Female	45	54	20	99
Total	116	97	51	213

Table 3: Diabetic Retinopathy in relation with duration

Duration of Diabetes	Retinopathy Absent	Retinopathy Present(a)	Total(b)	% of patients developing DR ¹ (a/b×100)
0-5 years	72	42	114	36.84
5-10 years	2	8	61	62.29
10-15 years	02	22	24	91.66
15-20 years	0	07	07	100
20 years or more	0	07	07	100
Total	97	116	213	

DR- Diabetic Retinopathy

Table 4: Diabetic Retinopathy with age of patients

Age (years)	Total	DR ¹	Percentage (%)
<40	18	6	33.33%
41-50	55	28	50.90%
51-60	62	37	59.67%
61-70	61	36	59.01%
>70	17	9	52.94%

DR- Diabetic Retinopathy

CONCLUSION

In background of these findings, it is recommended that to prevent Diabetic Retinopathy which is microvascular complication of diabetes; early diagnosis, early initiation of treatment, good control of diabetes and regular follow-up is a must.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal S, Raman R, Paul P, Rani P, Uthra S, Gayathree R et al. Sankara Nethralaya- Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study (SN-DREAMS): Study design and research methodology. Ophthalmic Epidemiology. 2005 Apr; 12(2):143-53.

2. Rema M, Premkumar S, Anitha B, Deepa R, Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Urban India: The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) Eye Study, I. Investigative ophthalmology and Visual Science. 2005 Jul; 46(7):2328-33.
3. Dr. P. N. Nagpal, Dr. Kamal Nagpal, Dr. Manish Nagpal. Management of Diabetic Retinopathy. AIOS CME Series (No.-3), 1999. Available from <http://aios.org/cme/cmeseeries3.pdf>
4. Sharma RA. Diabetic eye disease in southern India. Community Eye Health 1996; 9:56-8.
5. Dandona L, Dandona R, Naduvilath TJ, McCarty CA, Gullapli NR. Population based assessment of diabetic retinopathy in an urban population in southern India. British journal of ophthalmology 1999 August; 83(2):937-40.
6. Pradeepa R, Anitha B, Mohan V, Ganesan A, Rema M. Risk factors for diabetic retinopathy in South Indian Type- 2 diabetic population-The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) Eye Study 4. Diabetic medicine journal. 2008 May; 25(5):56-42.
7. McKay R, McCarty C, Taylor H. Diabetic retinopathy in Victoria Australia: the Visual Impairment Project. British journal of Ophthalmology. 2000 August; 84(8): 865-70.
8. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group (1993) N England J Med. 199 Sep 30; 29(14):977-86.

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Vinayak Damgude, Jaishree Singh, Ashok Kumar Meena. Study of Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy in Known Cases of Type- 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Hospital Based Study. Int J Med Res Prof. 2016; 2(3):69-71.