Evaluation of Serum Interleukin 6 in Type II Diabetes Mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Background: Interleukin-6 (IL-6) suppresses insulin-dependent insulin receptor autophosphorylation, interfering with insulin sensitivity and were found to be associated with an elevated diabetes risk. The aim of the study was to estimate the serum levels of IL-6 in patients with diabetes mellitus and to compare the findings with normal individuals.

Methods: Cross-sectional study. 40 cases of Diabetes Mellitus who attended Medicine OPD or admitted in the medical ward irrespective of sex, age and socioeconomic status form the study group. A group of 40 normal healthy individuals of comparable age and sex who were free of any systemic disease form the control group. Interleukin-6: IL-6 was estimated by human IL-6 ELISA kit manufactured by Krishgen Biosystems, Mumbai. IL-6 was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using ELISA reader as described by Helle M et al.

Results: Among DM cases males have slightly higher IL-6 level (11.15 ± 1.07 pg/ml) compared to females (9.63 ± 0.41 pg/ml). Among controls also males (7.84 ± 0.29 pg/ml) have more level compared to females (7.07 ± 0.46 pg/ml). Difference between IL-6 (mean ± SD) levels among males of both groups is statistically significant and among females of both the groups is also statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: The results of this study confirm the association of the serum Interleukin-6 with Diabetes mellitus. Thus it can be concluded that estimation of serum IL-6 level may be used as a biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of Diabetes Mellitus and may provide a useful tool for its management.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both.1 Several pathological processes are involved in the development of diabetes like autoimmune destruction of the β-cells of the pancreas with consequent insulin deficiency to abnormalities that result in resistance to insulin action. Prolonged low-grade inflammation may ultimately lead to the clinical expression of Type II Diabetes. Such a systemic and subclinical inflammatory process can be characterized by elevated circulating levels of inflammatory cytokines including C-reactive protein (CRP) or high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha). These cytokines have been shown to promote hepatic fatty acid synthesis and induce the liver to produce more acute-phase proteins, as well as recruit more inflammatory cells to adipose tissue and pancreatic beta-cells.2 IL-6 suppresses insulin-dependent insulin receptor autophosphorylation (interfering with insulin sensitivity). IL-6 is systemic inflammatory protein and enhances hepatic glucose production3, stimuliates LDL production and triglycerides in liver, and insulin resistance in muscle.4 IL-6 induces IL-1β production which impairs insulin signaling and action in vitro.5 In adipose tissue, IL-6 appears to suppress the expression of the insulin sensitising cytokine adiponectin6 and in epidemiological studies high levels of IL-6 were found to be associated with an elevated diabetes risk.7

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The aims and objectives of the study are to estimate the serum levels of interleukin-6 in patients with diabetes mellitus and in normal healthy individuals. Compare the results among them and find relationship if any.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Setting: Department of Biochemistry in collaboration with the department of Medicine, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur, India.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Study Duration: Two years, October 2013 to September 2015.
Study Population: 40 cases of Diabetes Mellitus who attended Medicine OPD or admitted in the medical ward irrespective of sex, age and socioeconomic status form the study group. A group of 40 normal healthy individuals of comparable age and sex who were free of any systemic disease form the control group.

Exclusion Criteria
- Carcinoma and chronic diseases.
- Macro vascular complications such as cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and peripheral vascular diseases.
- Febrile illness, sepsis, burn, renal disease and hepatic failure.

METHODS

Baseline examination and measurement

History taking was followed by a general physical and systemic examination. Screening of patients and subjects for the conditions of exclusion were done. Standardized protocols were used to measure body weight, height, waist and hip circumference with appropriate validation and quality control procedures. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m²).

Blood pressure was measured using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer and the average of two measurements taken at intervals longer than 2 minutes after the participants had been sitting for at least 30 minutes according to the American Heart guidelines.

Collection of sample

5 ml of venous blood was collected by venipuncture from antecubital vein after an overnight fast. 1 ml of blood was collected in fluoride vial for the estimation of blood glucose and about 4 ml was collected in plain vial for estimation of serum IL-6 and serum lipid level. The blood collected in the plain vial was centrifuged for 10 minutes within 30 minutes of collection and the collected serum for IL-6 estimation was stored immediately at < 4°C. Blood glucose estimation was done on the plasma separated from fluoride vial on the same day.

Analytical methods

Total cholesterol was measured by CHOD PAP method. Serum triglyceride was measured by GPO-PAP method with colorimetric determinations. HDL cholesterol by precipitation technique using HUMAN cholesterol liquicolor test kit. LDL cholesterol and VLDL cholesterol values in mg/dl were indirectly calculated by using the following formulae of Friedewald WT et al. Blood glucose was measured by Glucose liquicolor kit manufactured by HUMAN, Germany using Glucose oxidase (GOD/PAP) method.

Interleukin-6

IL-6 was estimated by human IL-6 ELISA kit manufactured by Krishgen Biosystems, Mumbai. IL-6 was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using ELISA reader as described by Helle M et al.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version-20 software. Results were reported as mean ± SD (standard deviation) for quantitative variables and number of cases along with percentages for the categorical/quantitative variables. Chi-square test, independent sample T test were applied whenever necessary. All comparisons were two-sided and the P-values of < 0.05 and < 0.01 were used as the cut-off values for significance and highly significance respectively.

RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Table 1 shows that mean age ± SD of control and Diabetes mellitus cases are 57.50 ± 12.32 and 60.35 ± 6.48 respectively which is statistically insignificant. Number of males is 22(55%) and 24(60%) in controls and DM cases which is more than number of females 18(45%) and 16(40%) in controls and DM cases. The mean ± SD of duration of DM in years is found to be 10.35 ± 1.26. The weight in kg in control and DM cases are found to be 51.86 ± 5.98 and 53.76 ± 4.94 respectively which is statistically significant. The BMI in kg/m² in control and DM cases are found to be 22.64 ± 0.57 and 24.39 ± 0.39 respectively which is statistically significant.

Percentage of family h/o diabetes in first degree relative in control and DM cases are found to be 21 and 45.7% respectively which is statistically significant.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of diabetic patients and healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Control (n = 40) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Diabetes (n = 40) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>57.50 ± 12.32</td>
<td>60.35 ± 6.48</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22(55%)</td>
<td>24(60%)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18(45%)</td>
<td>16(40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.35 ± 1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in kg</td>
<td>51.86 ± 5.98</td>
<td>53.76 ± 4.94</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>22.64 ± 0.57</td>
<td>24.39 ± 0.39</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family h/o diabetes in 1° relative (%)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) in control & Diabetes Mellitus cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n=40) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Diabetes (n=40) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124.49 ± 2.94</td>
<td>125.12 ± 2.59</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>74.72 ± 2.41</td>
<td>74.85 ± 2.48</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: BMI & lipid profile in control & Diabetes Mellitus cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n=40)</th>
<th>Diabetes (n=40)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.64 ± 0.57</td>
<td>24.39 ± 0.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>182.35 ± 16.76</td>
<td>290.20 ± 29.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>110.30 ± 24.92</td>
<td>150.85 ± 13.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>63.60 ± 19.82</td>
<td>35.02 ± 11.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>130.95 ± 16.97</td>
<td>156.70 ± 12.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Summary of Biochemical data in Controls and DM Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controls (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Diabetes Cases (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dl)</td>
<td>88.06 ± 4.04</td>
<td>134.32 ± 8.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial Blood Sugar( mg/dl)</td>
<td>126.98 ± 2.68</td>
<td>212.22 ± 8.614</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb A1c (%)</td>
<td>4.57 ± 0.31</td>
<td>9.51 ± 0.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.54</td>
<td>10.66 ± 1.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant difference in the systolic BP in the diabetic group when compared to control group with 125.12 ± 2.59 and 124.49 ± 2.94 in diabetic and control group respectively. The difference observed in diastolic BP was also not significant between control and diabetic group with 74.72 ± 2.41 and 74.85 ± 2.48 in control and diabetic group respectively. (Table 2)

Table 3 shows that the BMI in kg/m^2 in control and DM cases are found to be 22.64 ± 0.57 and 24.39 ± 0.39 respectively which is statistically significant. There is a statistically significant increase in serum cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL levels in study group compared to control group. There is a statistically significant decrease in serum HDL level in the study group compared to control group.

Table 4 shows FBS level in diabetes was 134.32 ± 8.04 when compared to 88.06 ± 4.04 in control. This difference is statistically significant (p<0.001).

The PPBS level in diabetic group is significantly high when compared to control with 212.22 ± 8.614 and 126.98 ± 2.68 in diabetic and control group respectively. There is a significant difference of blood glucose control as indicated by mean HbA1c among the control and diabetic cases (cases-9.51 ± 0.24, controls- 4.57 ± 0.31). Serum IL-6 level (cases- 10.66 ± 1.16, controls- 7.41 ± 0.54) are higher among cases than controls. This difference is found to be statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Table 5 shows distribution of Serum IL-6 among DM cases and controls according to their sex. Among DM cases males have slightly higher IL-6 level (11.15 ± 1.07 pg/ml) compared to females (9.63 ± 0.41 pg/ml). Among controls also males (7.84 ± 0.29 pg/ml) have more level compared to females (7.07 ± 0.46 pg/ml). Difference between IL-6 (mean ± SD) levels among males of both groups is statistically significant and among females of both the groups is also statistically significant (p < 0.001).
DISCUSSION

In the present study, The BMI in kg/m² in control and DM cases are found to be 22.64 ± 0.57 and 24.39 ± 0.39 respectively which is statistically significant. This finding is similar with that of Aruna DP et al. Percentage of family h/o diabetes in first degree relative in control and DM cases are found to be 21 and 45.7% respectively which is statistically significant. This finding is similar with that of Frank BH et al. It is observed that mean age (± SD) for DM cases is 60.35 ± 6.48 years. Mean age (± SD) for males among Cases is 61.55 ± 0.70 years while among females it is 59.36 ± 8.68 years. Mean age (± SD) for controls is 57.50 ± 12.32. Mean age for males among controls is 58.40 ± 15.46 while for females it is 54.88 ± 3.23. The disease was more prevalent in the middle aged population as the risk of developing Type 2 Diabetes increases with age. This finding is similar with the report of Schulze MB et al.

This study reported that, there was no significant difference in the systolic BP in the diabetic group when compared to control group with 125.12 ± 2.59 and 124.49 ± 2.94 in diabetic and control group respectively. The difference observed in diastolic BP was also not significant between control and diabetic group with 74.72 ± 2.41 and 74.65 ± 2.48 in control and diabetic group respectively. The BMI in kg/m² in control and DM cases are found to be 22.64 ± 0.57 and 24.39 ± 0.39 respectively which is statistically significant. There is a statistically significant increase in serum cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL levels in study group compared to control group. This is similar to the observations made by Benner A et al. and Mohan AF et al. who had found significant increase in the mean level of serum triglyceride in diabetic subjects when compared to the control groups. There is a statistically significant decrease in serum HDL level in the study group compared to control group. Table – XI shows FBS level in diabetes was 134.32 ± 8.04 when compared to 88.06 ± 4.04 in control. This difference is statistically significant (p<0.001) consistent with the reports given by Verma M et al. and Akinloye OA et al. The PPBS level in diabetic group is significantly high when compared to control with 212.22 ± 8.614 and 126.98 ± 2.68 in diabetic and control group respectively. This finding is consistent with the findings of Fahmy E et al. There is a significant difference of blood glucose control as indicated by mean HbA1c among the control and diabetic cases (cases- 9.51 ± 0.24, controls- 4.57 ± 0.31). HbA1c was increased in diabetic compared to control which is a sign of poor glycemic status as described by Selvin E et al. Gabbay KH et al. also found that in diabetic patients, concentration of HbA1c is elevated as much as two fold and decreases with improvement of glycaemic control.

Serum IL-6 level (cases- 10.66 ± 1.16, controls- 7.41 ± 0.54) are higher among cases than controls. This difference is found to be statistically significant (p < 0.001). This finding is consistent with the findings of Shayanma ZN et al. Among DM cases males have slightly higher IL-6 level (11.15 ± 1.07 pg/ml) compared to females (9.63 ± 0.41 pg/ml). Among controls also males (7.84 ± 0.29 pg/ml) have more level compared to females (7.07 ± 0.46 pg/ml). Difference between IL-6 (mean ± SD) levels among males of both groups is statistically significant and among females of both the groups is also statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Over the past decades many studies have suggested that low grade inflammation might be the key regulator in the pathogenesis of Type 2 DM. IL-6 is released from macrophages of adipose tissue as well as from adipocytes and skeletal muscle. In vitro and in vivo work has shown that IL-6 gene expression and circulating levels of IL-6 may be regulated by insulin. Pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 appear in early stage of Type 2 DM and they are found to be capable to increase insulin resistance directly in adipocytes, muscle and hepatic cells leading to augmentation of the systemic insulin resistance.

CONCLUSION

The study suggests that low grade inflammation might be the key regulator in the pathogenesis of Type 2 DM. Pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-6 appear in early stage of Type 2 DM and they are found to be capable to increase insulin resistance directly in adipocytes, muscle and hepatic cells leading to augmentation of the systemic insulin resistance. The results of this study confirm the association of the serum Interleukin-6 with Diabetes mellitus. Thus it can be concluded that estimation of serum IL-6 level may be used as a biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis of Diabetes Mellitus and may provide a useful tool for its management.

TARGETING insulin resistance and low grade inflammation particularly IL-6, early detection and prevention of Type II Diabetes mellitus can be done. This will reduce the risk not only for Type II Diabetes mellitus but also to its complications and comorbidities.

Despite its relatively small sample size, the present study provides evidence of the usefulness of estimation of serum IL-6 as a convenient and sensitive biomarker for the prediction of diabetes. Prospective and population based studies on a large-scale are however required to confirm the association.
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