

Factors Affecting Adherence of Hemodialysis Patients to Treatment in Makkah, Saudi Arabia

Ghanim H Al-Khattabi^{1*}, Mostafa J Baljoon², Mahmoud Yousef Lubbad³,
Mohammed Saeed Al-Ghamdi⁴, Majed Mohammed Al-Ghamdi⁴, Sameer Awad Alsabban⁵,
Adel Ibrahim⁶, Fadel Ahmad Trabulsi⁵, Ahmad Hamza Alwazna⁷, Majed Alharthi⁸,
Talib Jaid Al-Hujaili⁷, Amin M. Mukhtar Almahdi⁹

¹The Joint program of Community and Preventive Medicine, Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

²Director General of Makkah Health Affairs General Directorate, KSA.

³Director General, General Directorate of Environmental & Occupational Health,
Public Health Agency, MOH, KSA.

⁴Joint Program of Community and Preventive Medicine in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁵The Joint Program of Family Medicine, Makkah, KSA.

⁶Research Department, Directorate of Health Affairs, Jeddah, KSA.

⁷Ministry of health, Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

⁸Consultant Nephrologist, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, KSA. FRCP Canada Internal Medicine,
FRCP Canada Nephrology, Royal College of Physicians Canada, American Board of Internal Medicine.

⁹Family and Community Medicine Consultant, Ministry of Health, Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

Background: Adherence of hemodialysis patients to medical instructions is considered crucial for a longer life expectancy and better quality of life. Despite of its importance, there is remarkable paucity in researches which deal with adherence of patients under hemodialysis (HD) in Saudi Arabia.

Objectives: To identify the prevalence of adherence of patients to fluid, diet, medication and hemodialysis sessions in Makkah and to determine factors related to non-adherence.

Materials and Methods: The current study is a cross section one, where a sample of 361 HD patients were selected randomly from hemodialysis centers in three governmental hospitals in Makkah and they were subjected to assessment for adherence by using ESRD-AQ questionnaire in addition to clinical examination and laboratory investigation results.

Results: The frequency of adherence of patients was found to be high to dietary (88.4%), fluid restriction (87.8%) and medication prescription (88.0%), while it was relatively low for adherence to dialysis sessions (56.0%). Younger (< 30 years), unmarried, non-Saudis, those with chronic diseases other than hypertension (HTN) & diabetes mellitus (DM) and those with long dialysis duration (60+months) were found more likely to be non-adherent (NA) to fluid. Female patients were found more likely to be non-adherent to diet. Those with short dialysis

duration (<60 months) were found more likely to be non-adherent to medications.

Conclusion: The overall adherence rates in the current study population were thought to be within the range of most published international studies. Patients who had factors associated with non-adherence deserve special attention and support to improve their adherence behavior.

Keywords: Haemodialysis, Adherence, Prevalence, Saudi Arabia.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Ghanim H Al-Khattabi

Consultant of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology.
The Joint Program of Community and Preventive Medicine,
Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

Article History:

Received: 01-10-2017, Revised: 22-10-2017, Accepted: 07-11-2017

Access this article online	
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code 
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.020	

INTRODUCTION

Adherence is a dynamic, relative, complex, and multidimensional concept¹⁻³ which is defined as "the extent to which a person's behavior such as taking medications, following diets, or executing lifestyle changes coincide with medical or health advice."⁴

Poor adherence to complex multimodal therapies is a widely recognized problem in the daily care of hemodialysis patients,

which contribute to excess morbidity and mortality of this population.⁵ This argument comes in the time where the incidence of chronic renal diseases is reported to be rising globally by about 6% annually⁶, and the incidence of dialysis patients is increasing by around 7% worldwide.⁷ In Saudi Arabia, according to the latest dialysis statistics performed by the Saudi Center for

Organ Transplantation (SCOT) in 2012; there was a total of 14,171 patients on dialysis, out of them there were 12,844 (90.6%) treated by hemodialysis (HD) while the rest (1,327; 9.4%) were treated by peritoneal dialysis (PD); the overall mortality rate accounted for (11.6%).⁸

Specifically, the literatures indicated that skipping treatment and poor dietary adherence are strongly associated with greater risk for mortalities among dialysis patients in general and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in specific⁹; as patients with ESRD require lifetime commitment to their treatments including renal replacement therapy (RRT) and the medical treatments for their underlying disease for survival, and are faced with a lot of challenges related to their adherence to treatment.¹⁰

Despite these signaling facts and figures, the reviewed literatures indicated that reports concerning adherence vary widely and the rates to attendance at hemodialysis, medications, fluid and diet restrictions range from up to 100% to as low as 17.6%.¹¹⁻¹³ These wide ranges of adherence behavior in the literature is most likely related to different population being studied, inconsistency in the measures used to investigate adherence behavior of patient and lack of clinically relevant operational definitions of non-adherence.^{14,15} Moreover, it had been reported that there is paucity of studies which included all four aspects of the hemodialysis regimen, namely adherence related to fluid restrictions, dietary guidelines, medication, and dialysis appointments.¹⁶ Despite the importance of this topic, there is remarkable paucity in the studies which identify prevalence of adherence, and factors associated with non-adherence in hemodialysis patients in Saudi Arabia.

The present study aimed to identify the prevalence of adherence of patients to fluid, diet, medication and hemodialysis sessions in Makkah and to determine factors related to non-adherence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was a cross-sectional one conducted in three hemodialysis (HD) centers at three major governmental hospitals in Makkah city which is located in the Western region of Saudi Arabia. The HD machines are always busy through the year with four shifts daily. Sometimes a fifth shift has to be arranged to overcome the heavy workload with large influx of patients during the Holy months of Ramadan and Hajj due to a large number of visitors from outside the city of Makkah and there is an arrangement for visitors who have ESRD and need dialysis to do it in governmental hospitals. Small HD units are also available in other governmental and private hospitals, which accommodate for only a small percentage of patients.

The study population represents patients registered in the three HD centers present in three major governmental hospitals in Makkah city. Their total number was estimated to be around 2000 patients at the time of the study; they included all patients with ESRD requiring HD and they are all registered in the hemodialysis units of kidney centers. Patients at the HD centers in the three hospitals undergo HD for an average of 3 times a week, with a small percentage undergoing hemodialysis only twice a week. The hemodialysis sessions usually take place in four shifts, from 7:30 A.M to 10:30 A.M, from 11:00 A.M to 2:00 P.M, from 2:30 P.M to 5:30 P.M and from 6:00 P.M to 9:00 P.M.

The sample size needed for estimating prevalence of adherence and exploring factors associated with non-adherence was

calculated by using Epi-Info program version 6.04; the required sample size was 385 patients. The responded who completed the participation accounted for 361; making a response rate of 93.8%. Inclusion criteria were any patient, conscious, understands, able to give an informed consent (if the patient was less than 18 years, the consent was taken from his parents) and regular on hemodialysis. Stratified sampling was conducted to ensure representativeness of male and female patients. Stratification was based on the available list of patients at each HD center in each hospital. This list cover patients from all wards, including male and female wards, the isolation section, both hepatitis C positive and negative patients and at different times of the day.

As the number of patients who were available at the time of the study accounted for 770 patients, an estimated sample size was 385 which represented one half of the patients; therefore, the estimation designated sample in each place was half of available listed patients.

The allocation of patients from each list was done by systematic sampling selecting every second patient in each list. There were separate lists for males and females which facilitated allocation of the sample by gender.

Adherence to treatment regimens in patients with ESRD was measured by a variety of methods, with no one method being superior¹⁶, however, the (ESRD-AQ) was considered the most appropriate for evaluating treatment adherence or non-adherence in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD. The ESRD-AQ instrument is a self-administrated questionnaire consists of 46 items; it addresses all components of adherence behaviors of patients with ESRD, and it was found to be valid and reliable. It is easy to administer; its completion takes approximately 20 to 40 minutes.^{15,17} The questionnaire measures treatment adherence behaviors in four dimensions: HD attendance, medication use, fluid restrictions and diet restrictions recommendations. It is divided into five sections; the first section includes general information about patients' ESRD and RRT related history (5 items), and the remaining four sections ask about treatment adherence to HD treatment (14 items), medications (9 items), fluid restrictions (10 items), and diet restrictions recommendations (8 items). These four final sections directly measure adherence behaviors (14, 17, 18, 26, 31, and 46), and patients' knowledge and perceptions about treatment (11, 12, 22, 23, 32, 33, 41, and 42). Responses to the ESRD-AQ utilize a combination of Likert scales and multiple choice items, as well as "yes/no" answer format. The adherence behavior subscale was scored by summing the responses to questions 14, 17, 18, 26, and 46. The weighting system for scores was determined based on the degree of importance relevant to clinical outcome of each dimension. For example, missing or shortening HD has been reported to have a stronger association with mortality of patients with ESRD than other components of adherence behavior; therefore, it was given more weight in computing the adherence scores. In addition, the ESRD-AQ adjusts scores for question numbers 14 ("During the last month, how many complete dialysis treatments did you miss?"), 18 ("During the last month, when your dialysis treatment was shortened, what was the average numbers of minutes?"), and 26 ("During the past week, how often have you missed your prescribed medicines?") depending on the reasons for not adhering. For example, patients with medical reasons for missing or shortening the HD treatment (such as having HD access

problems or physical symptoms during HD) obtained a full score (see appendix A). The attitude/perception subscale was scored by summing the responses to questions 11, 12, 22, 23, 32, 33, 41, and 42. The remaining questions obtain information about patients' ESRD and RRT related history. The ESRD-AQ was designed such that higher scores indicate better adherence.¹⁷

The original English version of questionnaire was translated to Arabic then it was back translated to ensure lexical equivalence. Additionally, it was subjected to validity testing after being translated into Arabic language.

A set of a structured list was developed by the researcher to ascertain information on patients' demographic characteristics and factors associated with non-adherence of patients and was translated into Arabic and reviewed by consultant of family medicine, consultant of community medicine and nephrology consultant; and was added to the End-Stage Renal Disease-Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ).

Each patient's adherence behavior was rated based on IDWGs, dialysis attendance, serum potassium and phosphorous levels over the previous month. These criteria were used separately to distinguish between adherent and non-adherent patients.¹⁸

Dry weight (weight at the end of dialysis treatment) which is taken as the lowest tolerable weight at the end of dialysis treatment without the development of symptoms or hypotension, and the inter dialytic weight gain (IDWG) is calculated as the difference between the patient's weight obtained at the onset of a dialysis treatment and the weight obtained at the end of the previous dialysis. The clinical measurements included biological measurements which included in addition to interdialytic weight gain (IDWG), biochemical markers which included pre-HD serum potassium or phosphorous levels.

Patients were considered non-adherent in the following situations:¹⁷

1. If IDWGs were greater than 5.7% higher than the previous weight more than once weekly (for non-adherence to fluid restrictions) (the last cut-off was based on a > 4 kg IDWG in a 70 kg patient).
2. If they skipped one or more sessions of hemodialysis per month, or shortened one or more sessions by more than 10 minutes per month (for non-adherence to HD). A session missed because of hospitalization was not considered non adherence.
3. If serum potassium was higher than 6.0 mmol/L (>6.0 mEq/L) (for non-adherence to diet restrictions) on monthly laboratory results.
4. If serum phosphorus was higher than 7.5 mg/dL (>2.4 mmol/L), (for non- adherence to medication).

Upon arrival to the dialysis centers, the physicians in charge were contacted and the researcher used to present the study design and explain the purpose of the research to the hemodialysis staff. Information regarding the hemodialysis center was obtained from the chief hemodialysis nurse. According to selection and inclusion criteria, designated patients were invited to participate in the study after explaining to them the purpose of the study.

Medical files of the patient were examined to check the weight of patients pre hemodialysis, weight of patients post hemodialysis (dry weight), number of co-morbid diseases and the presence of chronic disease (such as DM, HTN), psychiatric diseases, hospitalization history, kidney transplant history, causes of kidney

failure, hepatitis profile, potassium and phosphorus level, for how long is he or she on dialysis, number of daily tablet, others... These information were available for every patient as they are doing a monthly blood test examination pre and post hemodialysis session to evaluate the level of potassium, phosphorus and others chemical indicators. By asking patients, nurses and confirmed by reviewing patients files, the researcher could identify how many times patients skipped and shortened their hemodialysis session per month. These information were used to specify adherence and non-adherence according to definitions disclosed above.

Weight for each patient was measured before and after each hemodialysis sessions by well-trained nurses. The patient's weight at the beginning of dialysis session was subtracted from the weight at the end of previous dialysis session (dry weight) to calculate the interdialytic weight gain (IDWG); then this IDWG is divided by weight at the end of previous dialysis session (dry weight) to get IDWG percentage. If IDWG percentage was more than 5.7% more than once weekly, then patient was considered as nonadherent to fluid restriction recommendations. A standard electronic weighing chair was used to obtain the weight. The scale was placed on a hard floor surface. Participants were asked to remove their heavy outer garments; female patients were weighed with Abaya (ladies body cover), and Abaya was weighed and its weight was subtracted from the total. Weight was measured in all participants and taken to the nearest 0.1 kg using weighing scale. The scale was calibrated at the beginning and end of each examining day. The scale was checked using the standardized weights and calibration was corrected if the error was greater than 0.1 kg.

Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) program, version 20 was used for statistical analysis of data. The level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ throughout the study.

RESULTS

The study included 361 patients. The majority of them (78.9%) aged <65 years, with a mean age of 50.1 ± 15.8 years. There was almost equal distribution of males and females with an overwhelming majority of Saudis (93.9%). About two thirds of them were married (62.3%) and around one third (31.3%) were illiterate. The great majorities (88.9%) were unemployed and almost two thirds of the patients (60.1%) had monthly income between 1000 and 3000 SR.

The most commonly identified causes of renal failure were diabetes mellitus (23%) and hypertension (21.9%). On the same line, it was found that the overwhelming majorities of the patients (93.9 %) were currently hypertensive and a considerable proportion (39.6%) were currently diabetic and almost one half (49.9%) were positive for HCV. One half of the patients (50.3%) were on dialysis for 60 months or more and the majority (77%) had previous history of hospitalization. Clinically, the average number of co-morbidities accounted for 3.0 diseases; the median interdialytic weight gain was 2.0 kg; the average number of daily tablet(s) taken by patients was 5.0. The mean levels of pre-hemodialysis serum potassium (K^+) and phosphorus (po_4) were $5.1(0.9)$ mmol/L and $5.3(1.8)$ mg/dl; respectively.

A relatively low adherence to regular attendance to dialysis sessions (56%) was reported, the great majority of patients were found to be adherent to other adherence behavior namely: diet, fluid restrictions and medications.

Table 1: Adherence of the patients to fluid restriction recommendations according to their demographic characteristics

Characteristics	Adherence to fluid restriction				X ²	P	
	YES		NO				
	No	%	No	%			
Gender	Males	154	89.5	18	10.5	0.948	0.330
	Females	162	86.2	26	13.8		
Nationality	Saudi	300	88.8	38	11.2	Fisher	0.039
	Non Saudi	16	72.7	6	27.3		
Age	<30 years	28	60.9	18	39.1	36.262	<0.001
	30-<60 years	183	90.6	19	9.4		
	60+ years	105	93.8	7	6.3		
Age Mean±SD		51.3±15.2		40.5±17.2			<0.001*
Marital status	Married	208	92.9	16	7.1	19.203	<0.001
	Single	57	74.0	20	26.0		
	Divorced	16	88.9	2	11.1		
	Widowed	35	85.4	6	14.6		
Educational level	Illiterate	100	88.5	13	11.5	1.675	0.247
	Primary school	79	89.8	9	10.2		
	Intermediate school	51	89.5	6	10.5		
	Secondary school	54	84.4	10	15.6		
	University	32	84.2	6	15.8		
Employment status	Employed	37	92.5	3	7.5	Fisher	0.486
	Not employed	279	87.2	41	12.8		
Monthly income	<1000 SR	38	82.6	8	17.4	2.600	0.457
	1000-<3000 SR	189	87.5	27	12.5		
	3001-<6000 SR	53	93.0	4	7.0		
	6000+ SR	36	87.8	5	12.2		

*Based on independent sample t test

Table 2: Adherence of the patients to fluid restriction recommendations according to their clinical background

Characteristics	Adherence to fluid restriction				X ²	P	
	YES		NO				
	No	%	No	%			
Duration of dialysis	<60 months	164	92.1	14	7.9	6.534	0.011
	60+ months	149	83.2	30	16.8		
Main cause of renal failure	Hypertension	72	92.3	6	7.7	6.182	0.045
	Diabetes mellitus	77	92.8	6	7.2		
	Others	167	83.9	32	16.1		
Previous kidney transplant	Yes	20	90.9	2	9.1	Fisher	0.481
	No	296	87.6	42	12.4		
Diagnosed with psychiatric illness	Yes	33	89.2	4	10.8	Fisher	0.517
	No	283	87.6	40	12.4		

Table 1 demonstrates that the Saudi patients are significantly more adherent to fluid restriction recommendations (88.8%) than the non-Saudis (72.7%) with an odds ratio (2.96; 95% CI: 1.09-8.02) and older patients are more likely to adhere to fluid restriction than do the younger patients aged <30 years. Meanwhile, it was noted that single patients are significantly less likely to adhere to fluid restriction (74%) than either the married (92.9%), the divorced (88.9%) or the widowed (85.4%) p<0.05. On the other hand the table shows that neither the gender, the educational level, the employment status nor the monthly income had significant association with differences in adherence to fluid restriction p>0.05.

Table 2 shows that the longer the duration of dialysis the less likely the patient will be adherent to fluid restriction

recommendations, the proportion of adherent patients accounted for 92.1% for patients who were treated with dialysis for less than 60 months compared to 83.2% for those who had dialysis for 60 or more months with an odds ratio (2.36; 95% CI: 1.20-4.62). Also, it was observed that hypertensive and diabetic patients are significantly more likely to adhere to fluid restriction if compared to those with other chronic diseases; these differences are statistically significant p<0.05. On the other hand, it was found that although that patients with previous kidney transplant (90.9%) and those with psychiatric illnesses (89.2%) were more adherent to fluid restrictions, nevertheless, these differences are not statistically significant p>0.05.

Table 3 shows that although the females, the non-Saudis, those aged between 30-<60 years, married, employed, with lower

educational levels and have monthly income between 3000-<6000 SR had relatively higher level of adherence to dialysis session, however, these differences are not statistically significant $p>0.05$.

Table 4 shows that there were no significant differences in adherence of the patients to hemodialysis sessions according to their clinical characteristics namely: duration of dialysis, main cause of renal failure, previous history of kidney transplant or previous diagnosis of psychiatric illnesses $p>0.05$.

Table 5 illustrates that the percentage of males who were adherent to dietary restrictions' recommendations (91.9%) was significantly higher than that among females (85.2%) $p<0.05$, with an odds ratio (1.96; 95% CI: 1.00-3.87). Meanwhile, it was noted that the frequency of adherence to dietary restriction was relatively higher among non-Saudi patients, older ages, those who are

married, with university qualifications, employed and higher monthly income, nevertheless, these differences are not statistically significant $p>0.05$.

Table 6 shows that despite of the relatively higher frequency of adherence to diet restrictions' recommendations among patients with duration of dialysis for <60 months, hypertensive, patients with previous kidney transplant and those with previous history of psychiatric illnesses, nevertheless, these differences are not statistically significant $p>0.05$.

Table 7 shows that although the females, the non-Saudis, those aged 60+ years, married, with higher educational levels, not employed and have monthly income <1000 SR had relatively higher level of adherence to dialysis session, however, these differences are not statistically significant $p>0.05$.

Table 3: Adherence of the patients to hemodialysis sessions according to their demographic characteristics

Characteristics		Adherence to hemodialysis sessions				X ²	p
		YES		NO			
		No	%	No	%		
Gender	Males	96	55.8	76	44.2	0.003	0.959
	Females	106	56.1	83	43.9		
Nationality	Saudi	186	54.9	153	45.1	2.674	0.102
	Non Saudi	16	72.7	6	27.3		
Age	<30 years	21	45.7	25	54.3	3.136	0.208
	30-<60 years	120	59.4	82	40.6		
	60+ years	61	54.0	52	46.0		
Mean±SD		51.0±14.6		48.8±17.2			0.192
Marital status	Married	137	60.9	88	39.1	7.623	0.054
	Single	34	44.2	43	55.8		
	Divorced	11	61.1	7	38.9		
	Widowed	20	48.8	21	51.2		
Educational level	Illiterate	64	56.6	49	43.4	4.722	0.317
	Primary school	56	62.9	33	37.1		
	Intermediate school	33	57.9	24	42.1		
	Secondary school	32	50.0	32	50.0		
	University	17	44.7	21	55.3		
Employment status	Employed	23	57.5	17	42.5	0.044	0.835
	Not employed	179	55.8	142	44.2		
Monthly income	<1000 SR	28	60.9	18	39.1	1.132	0.769
	1000-<3000 SR	117	53.9	100	46.1		
	3001-<6000 SR	34	59.6	23	40.4		
	6000+ SR	23	56.1	18	43.9		

*Based on independent sample t test

Table 4: Adherence of the patients to hemodialysis sessions according to their clinical background:

Characteristics		Adherence to hemodialysis sessions				X ²	P
		YES		NO			
		No	%	No	%		
Duration of dialysis:	<60 months	95	53.4	83	46.6	0.704	0.401
	60+ months	104	57.8	76	42.2		
Main cause of renal failure:	Hypertension	44	55.7	35	44.3	0.020	0.990
	Diabetes mellitus	47	56.6	36	43.4		
	Others	111	55.8	88	44.2		
Previous kidney transplant:	Yes	12	52.2	11	47.8	0.143	0.706
	No	190	56.2	148	43.8		
Diagnosed with psychiatric illness:	Yes	186	57.4	138	42.6	2.703	0.100
	No	16	43.2	21	56.8		

Table 5: Adherence of the patients to dietary restrictions according to their demographic characteristics:

Characteristics		Adherence to dietary restriction				X ²	p
		YES		NO			
		No	%	No	%		
Gender	Males	158	91.9	14	8.1	3.903	0.048
	Females	161	85.2	28	14.8		
Nationality	Saudi	298	87.9	41	12.1	Fisher	0.247
	Non Saudi	21	95.5	1	4.5		
Age	<30 years	38	82.6	8	17.4	3.071	0.215
	30-<60 years	177	87.6	25	12.4		
	60+ years	104	92.0	9	8.0		
Mean±SD		51.4±15.6		47.6±17.6			0.275
Marital status	Married	204	90.7	21	9.3	NA	NA
	Single	64	83.1	13	16.9		
	Divorced	16	88.9	2	11.1		
	Widowed	35	85.4	6	14.6		
Educational level	Illiterate	103	91.2	10	8.8	4.781	0.311
	Primary school	74	83.1	15	16.9		
	Intermediate school	50	87.7	7	12.3		
	Secondary school	56	87.5	8	12.5		
	University	36	94.7	2	5.3		
Employment status	Employed	37	92.5	3	7.5	Fisher	0.285
	Not employed	282	87.9	39	12.1		
Monthly income	<1000 SR	39	84.8	7	15.2	2.601	0.457
	1000-<3000 SR	190	87.6	27	12.4		
	3001-<6000 SR	51	89.5	6	10.5		
	6000+ SR	39	95.1	2	4.9		

*Based on independent sample t test

NA: Not Applicable

Table 6: Adherence of the patients to dietary restrictions according to their clinical background:

Characteristics		Adherence to dietary restriction				X ²	P
		YES		NO			
		No	%	No	%		
Duration of dialysis:	<60 months	161	90.4	17	9.6	1.263	0.261
	60+ months	156	86.7	24	13.3		
Main cause of renal failure:	Hypertension	73	92.4	6	7.6	1.632	0.422
	Diabetes mellitus	72	86.7	11	13.3		
	Others	174	87.4	25	12.6		
Previous kidney transplant:	Yes	21	95.5	1	4.5	Fisher	0.247
	No	298	87.9	41	12.1		
Diagnosed with psychiatric illness:	Yes	33	89.2	4	10.8	Fisher	0.564
	No	286	88.3	38	11.7		

Table 7: Adherence of the patients to medication recommendations according to their demographic characteristics:

Characteristics		Adherence to medications				X ²	p
		YES		NO			
		No	%	No	%		
Gender	Males	147	87.0	22	13.0	0.307	0.580
	Females	168	88.9	21	11.1		
Nationality	Saudi	294	87.5	42	12.5	Fisher	0.230
	Non Saudi	21	95.5	1	4.5		
Age	<30 years	36	78.3	10	21.7	5.720	0.057
	30-<60 years	177	88.1	24	11.9		
	60+ years	102	91.9	9	8.1		
Mean±SD		50.5±15.7		46.5±16.3			0.126
Marital status	Married	198	89.2	24	10.8	NA	NA
	Single	65	84.4	12	15.6		

Educational level	Divorced	15	83.3	3	16.7	0.381	0.984
	Widowed	37	88.2	4	11.8		
	Illiterate	100	88.5	13	11.5		
	Primary school	77	86.5	12	13.5		
	Intermediate school	49	89.1	6	10.9		
	Secondary school	55	87.3	8	12.7		
	University	34	89.5	4	10.5		
Employment status	Employed	32	82.1	7	17.9	Fisher	0.170
	Not employed	283	88.7	36	11.3		
Monthly income:	<1000 SR	43	93.5	3	6.5	1.831	0.608
	1000-<3000 SR	188	87.0	28	13.0		
	3001-<6000 SR	49	86.0	8	14.0		
	6000+ SR	35	89.7	4	10.3		

*Based on independent sample t test NA: Not Applicable

Table 8: Adherence of the patients to medication recommendations according to their clinical background:

Characteristics	Adherence to medications				X ²	P	
	YES		NO				
	No	%	No	%			
Duration of dialysis	<60 months	149	84.7	27	15.3	4.122	0.042
	60+ months	164	91.6	15	8.4		
Main cause of renal failure	Hypertension	71	89.9	8	10.1	3,500	0.174
	Diabetes mellitus	77	92.8	6	7.2		
	Others	167	85.2	29	14.8		
Previous kidney transplant	Yes	21	95.5	1	4.5	Fisher	0.254
	No	295	87.5	42	12.5		
Diagnosed with psychiatric illness	Yes	32	88.9	4	11.1	Fisher	0.560
	No	283	87.9	39	12.1		

Table 8 shows that the higher the duration of dialysis the more likely the patient will be adherent to medications' recommendations; the percentage of non-adherent patients decreased significantly from 15.3% among patients with dialysis for less than 60 months to 8.4% among patients with dialysis for 60+ months $p < 0.05$. Otherwise, although there was relatively higher adherence frequencies among diabetic patients, those with kidney transplant and who have history of psychiatric illnesses, these differences are not statistically significant $p > 0.05$.

DISCUSSION

The current study showed variation in the rates for different aspects of adherence, while it were as high as 88% for adherence of patients to medication, fluid and diet restrictions, it was lower for attendance to hemodialysis sessions (56%). Since the previously reported adherence rates have been extremely varied,^{11-13,19} it is difficult to compare measured adherence rates in this study to those reported by others. The differences and wide variations in the reported adherence levels in different studies could be attributed to differences in study settings, measurement tools and/or the recruitment procedures employed for the study.

The remarkable variation in the level of adherence of hemodialysis patients reported in different studies could also be attributed to the adopted cut-off point used to establish compliance criteria, the more stringent is the cut-points the more likely are the inflated percentage while lenient cut-points reduce the percentage.

The results of the current study came in line with what was previously addressed that, among the ESRD population, older patients are more likely to be adherent to treatment^{19,20} especially

to fluid restrictions' recommendations. Same findings were elaborated in other studies,^{2,21} this notion could be explained by the argument that older patients may have more structured lifestyle that accommodates the demands of the treatment regimen while younger patients may perceive themselves as less vulnerable to negative health outcomes.²

The significantly higher non adherence rates to fluid restriction in young patients are similar to findings in other studies.^{20,22} The relatively low level of adherence among this group of patients had been partially attributed to the feeling of independence which is usually intense in young people, this feeling lead to possible disregard of health instructions, and they could have under estimation for the adverse consequences that might result from non-adherence.²

Similarly, older patients had been found more adherent to dietary recommendations with phosphorus and sodium restrictions, these findings came in congruence with what was found among Korean hemodialysis patients.²³

Patients with longer duration on hemodialysis (60 months and greater) were found to be less adherent to fluid restrictions recommendations, Although that this finding comes in accordance with other studies,^{13,24} these studies draw the attention that there is no single convincing explanation for this change of adherence level of the patients being more less along time. Nevertheless, Yoke Mun Chan et al (2012) suggested that it is likely that the long duration of dependence on dialysis (length of time on dialysis) may cause hemodialysis patients to be accustomed to the restrictions imposed by the disease that might create false perception of better compliance than they actually do. Secondly,

the use of clinical data for example serum potassium and phosphorus as the direct measures of dietary compliance could be misleading as these clinical data may also be affected by factors such as dialysis adequacy, medication and other factors yet to be identified.¹⁴ From another perspective, it had been postulated that patients new to dialysis treatment may receive more social support, therefore they exhibit higher degree of compliance.²⁵

On the other hand, this study showed that subjects with longer duration on hemodialysis (60 months and greater) were more adherent to medications, that could be attributed to notion that with time, the patients are becoming more knowledgeable and would having clear understanding of medications instructions about importance, timing, dose of medications.

The current study showed that male are more adherent to diet restrictions than female which is consistent with other international study carried out by Saran et al (2003).²⁶ Possible explanation is that in Saudi people culture female usually less educated than male, so, they are more adherent than female. Other possible explanation is that in Saudi community, females are usually stay in homes most of their time in contrast to males who are usually spend most of their time outside homes (in work, recreation, etc.), therefore, females have longer time for being close to food and available facilities for preparing meals. On the other side, and in contradiction to our findings, a study conducted by Yoke et al (2012) revealed that male patients were more likely to be non-adherent.²⁷ Our study showed that being married is an important factor in adherence to fluid restriction. Similar findings were found in a study conducted by Kelly et al (2009), through a review of the published literature from 1948 to 2001, they could argue that marital status and living with another person (for adults) increase adherence modestly.²⁸ Kutner (2001) and Rosner (2006) reported that spouses have positive effects on compliance with the treatment.^{3,19}

Our study showed that hypertensive and diabetic patients are significantly more likely to adhere to fluid restriction if compared to those with other chronic diseases; these differences are statistically significant $p < 0.05$. This finding is inconsistent with what was observed by a study conducted in USA, where the researchers found that there was no significant association between the presence of diabetes mellitus and changes in IWG (adherence to fluid restrictions).²⁹ This notion could be presumably attributed to the claim that diabetic and hypertensive patients may be more afraid from complications and its consequences more than non-diabetic and non-hypertensive; so they are more adherent to their fluid restrictions recommendations.

Saudi patients were found to be more adherent to fluid restriction recommendations than non-Saudis. No other researches available concerned about exploring association between nationality and adherence. However this low adherence among non-Saudi may be attributed to poor language communication between patients and healthcare professional staff especially in misunderstanding the medical instructions about changing adherence behavior.

Many of the clinical problems experienced by patients having haemodialysis are related to their failure to eat appropriate foods and restrict their fluid intake. Durose et al (2004) argued that educations of patients undergoing hemodialysis about their dietary and liquid consumption can limit the amount of their fluid intake which in turn would lead to better health.³⁰ To ensure long term adoption of the patients to these instructions, the education

intervention should aim at changing attitude and behavior of the patients.³¹

The main limitation of this study is the inherited drawback of the cross-sectional being unable to detect causal relationship between variables. A longitudinal design might be better to display changes of over time.

In conclusion, the prevalence of adherence among our HD patients was within the range of most published international studies. Younger (< 30 years), unmarried, non-Saudis, patients with chronic diseases other than HTN&DM and those with long dialysis duration (60+ months) were found more likely to be non-adherent to fluid. Females patients and those with short dialysis duration (<60 months) were found more likely to be non-adherent to diet and medications, respectively. These groups warrant special attention and support to improve their adherence behavior.

REFERENCES

1. Cummings KM, Becker MH, Kirscht JP, Levin NW. Psychosocial factors affecting adherence to medical regimens in a group of hemodialysis patients. *Medical Care* 1982;20(6):567-80.
2. Kutner NG. Improving compliance in dialysis patients: does anything work? *Semin Dial* 2001;14(5):324-7.
3. Rosner F. Patient noncompliance: Causes and solutions. *The Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine* 2006;73(2):553-9.
4. World Health Organization. Defining adherence. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action Geneva:WHO2003;16-28.
5. Schmid H, Hartmann B, Schiffli H. Adherence to prescribed oral medication in adult patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis: a critical review of the literature. *Eur J Med Res* 2009 May 14;14(5):185-90.
6. Kasper DL, Braunwald E, Hauser S, Longo D, Jameson JL, Fauci AS. *Harrison's Principle of Internal Medicine*. 16th ed. New York: MC Grow Company. 2004.
7. Lacson Jr E, Hakim RM. The 2011 ESRD prospective payment system: perspectives from Fresenius Medical Care, a large dialysis organization. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2011;57(4):547-9.
8. Saudi Center for Organ Transplantation. Dialysis in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant* 2012;24(4):853-61.
9. Unruh ML, Evans IV, Fink NE, Powe NR, Meyer KB. Choices for Healthy Outcomes in Caring for End-Stage Renal Disease (CHOICE) Study. Skipped treatments, markers of nutritional nonadherence, and survival among incident hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;46(6):1107-16.
10. National Kidney Foundation (NKF). K-DOQI (kidney disease outcome quality initiative) clinical practice guidelines for clinical kidney disease: Evaluation, classification, and stratification. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2002;39(Suppl.1):S 45-S 75.
11. Durose CL, Holdsworth M, Watson V, Przygodzka F. Knowledge of dietary restrictions and the medical consequences of noncompliance by patients on hemodialysis are not predictive of dietary compliance. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(1):35-41.
12. Hecking E, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, Pisoni RL, Andreucci VE, Combe C, et al. Haemodialysis prescription, adherence and nutritional indicators in five European countries: results from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004;19(1):100-7.

13. Lee SH, Molassiotis A. Dietary and fluid compliance in Chinese hemodialysis patients. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2002;39(695):704.
14. Yoke Mun Chan, Mohd Shariff Zalilah, Sing Ziunn Hii. Determinants of Compliance Behaviours among Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis in Malaysia. *PLoS ONE* 2012 Aug 3;7(8):e41362.
15. Youngmee Kim. Relationship between Illness Perceptions, Treatment Adherence, And Clinical Outcomes in Patients On Maintenance Hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2010;37(3):271-81.
16. Kris Denhaerynck, Dominique Manhaeve, Fabienne Dobbels, Daniela Garzoni, Christa Nolte, Sabina De Geest. Prevalence and Consequences of Nonadherence to Hemodialysis Regimens. *Am J Crit Care* 2007 May;16(3):222-5.
17. Youngmee Kim, Lorraine S.Evangelista, Linda R.Phillips, Carol Pavlish, Joel D.Kopple. The End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire (ESRDAQ):Testing The Psychometric Properties in Patients Receiving In-Center Hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2010;37(4):377-93.
18. Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, Goodkin DA, Keen ML, Van Dijk PC, et al. Non adherenc ein hemodialysis: associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. *Kidney Int* 2003;64(1):254-62.
19. Kutner NG, Zhang R, McClellan WM, Cole SA. Psychosocial predictors of non-compliance in haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, 2002; 17(93): 99.
20. Kugler C, Vlaminck H, Haverich A, Maes B. Nonadherence with diet and fluid restrictions among adults having hemodialysis. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 2005;37(1):25-9.
21. Park KA, Choi KS, Sim YM, Kim SB. Comparison of dietary compliance and dietary knowledge between older and younger Korean hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr* 2008;18(5):415-23.
22. Takaki J, Nishi T, Shimoyama H, Inada T, Matsuyama N, Sasaki T, et al. Associations and interactions of age, sex, and duration of hemodialysis with compliance in uremic patients. *Dialysis & Transplantation*, 2003;32(1):12-6.
23. Son Y.-J, Choi K.-S, Park Y.-R, Bae J.-S, Lee J.-B. Depression, Symptoms and the Quality of Life in Patients on Hemodialysis for End-Stage Renal Disease. *Am J Nephrol* 2009;29:36-42.
24. Kimmel PL, Varela MP, Peterson RA, Weihs KL, Simmens SJ, Alleyne S, et al. Interdialytic weight gain and survival in hemodialysis patients: effects of duration of ESRD and diabetes mellitus. *Kidney Int* 2000;57(3):1141-51.
25. Lam LW, Twinn SF, Chan SW. Self-reported adherence to a therapeutic regimen among patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Adv Nurs* 2010 Apr;66(4):763-73 doi 2010 Apr;66(4):763-73.
26. Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, Goodkin DA, Keen ML, Van Dijk PC, et al. Nonadherencein hemodialysis: associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. *Kidney Int* 2003;64(1):254-62.
27. Yoke Mun Chan, Mohd Shariff Zalilah, Sing Ziunn Hii. Determinants of Compliance Behaviours among Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis in Malaysia. *PLoS ONE* 2012 Aug 3;7(8):e41362.
28. Kelly B.Haskard Zolnierek, M.Robin DiMatteo. Physician Communication and Patient Adherence to Treatment: A Meta-analysis. *Med Care* 2009 Aug;47(8):826-34.
29. Kimmel PL, Varela MP, Peterson RA, Weihs KL, Simmens SJ, Alleyne S, et al. Interdialytic weight gain and survival in hemodialysis patients: Effects of duration of ESRD and diabetes mellitus. *Kidney International* 1999 Sep 17;57:1141-51.
30. Durose CL, Holdsworth M, Watson V, Przygodzka F. Knowledge of dietary restrictions and the medical consequences of noncompliance by patients on hemodialysis are not predictive of dietary compliance. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(1):35-41.
31. Sharp J, Wild MR, Gumley AI, Deighan CJ. A cognitive behavioralgroup approach to enhance adherence to hemodialysis fluid restrictions: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;45(6):1046-57.

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJM RP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Ghanim H Al-Khattabi, Mostafa J Baljoon, Mahmoud Yousef Lubbad, Mohammed Saeed Al-Ghamdi, Majed Mohammed Al-Ghamdi, Sameer Awad Alsabban, Adel Ibrahim, Fadel Ahmad Trabulsi, Ahmad Hamza Alwazna, Majed Alharthi, Talib Jaid Al-Hujaili, Amin M. Mukhtar Almahdi. Factors Affecting Adherence of Hemodialysis Patients to Treatment in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Med Res Prof.* 2017 Nov; 3(6):95-103. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.020