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ABSTRACT
Background: Because of lack of specific clinical and laboratory findings, early diagnosis of neonatal septicemia is often difficult. Antibiotics are administered on suspicion of neonatal sepsis as early as possible whereas it takes some time to get reports from blood culture. To devise a way to early diagnosis and reduce the irrational use of antibiotics, several rapid haematological tests have been evaluated. This objective behind the study was to correlate the role of haematological parameters to early onset septicaemia in neonates and to establish it as a reliable indicator.

Materials and Methods: A prospective study of haematological parameters of 300 neonates with clinically suspected sepsis was performed. These parameters were evaluated statistically based on the standard reference values.

Results: Band cells, Increase/decreased WBCs, neutropenia, I/M ratio, I/T ratio, Thrombocytopenia, Toxic granules were found to have good specificity and sensitivity. Most of the patients had two or more positive tests. Hematological scoring system had the sensitivity: 95.8%, specificity:73.8%, Positive predictive value:41%, Negative predictive value: 98.9%.

Conclusion: It is concluded that the haematological parameters can provide an early clue for diagnosis of early onset sepsicaemia in neonates. Hematological scoring system if established can act as a rapid adjunct to diagnose and help in early intervention thereby decreasing neonatal morbidity and mortality.
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INTRODUCTION
Systemic infection of a newborn with nonspecific systems along with bacteremia is referred to as neonatal sepsis.¹ It is a broad term for clinical syndrome in which circulatory compromise occurs in first four weeks of life in newborns due to presence of bacteria in blood.² It encompasses infections and clinical presentation of septicaemia, pneumonia, osteomyelitis and urinary tract infection in neonates.³ It is one of the leading cause of neonatal deaths. It accounts for 5 million of total neonatal deaths per year in developing countries making up around 30 to 50 percent of neonates in developing countries.¹ In India, the incidence of neonatal sepsis is approximately 30 per 1000 live births.³ Early onset sepsicaemia is different from late one in being encountered from bacteria before and during delivery. Late onset may be acquired through different reasons like from noscomial or community sources.⁴ As wide variety of bacteria maybe involved with a rapid spread of infection in neonates, the diagnosis and treatment often becomes difficult.¹² Therefore, an early recognition of bacteria and institution of antibacterial therapy as early as possible is imperative for favourable prognosis. This is possible through application of haematological markers which may aid in screening and early diagnosis. The results can be obtained even before the results of blood culture come.¹ Thus the present study was taken to evaluate and correlate haematological profile and early onset of infection in neonates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This prospective study was carried out at M.Y. Hospital, Department of Paediatrics, M.G.M. Medical College, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India over a period of one year. After ethical clearance from institutional ethics committee, the study was
started on 300 neonates. The enrolment was based on detailed history, clinical signs and symptoms of infection and thorough physical examination. Neonates who underwent surgery, who had already received antibiotics, who had congenital defects and who did not survive the complete work up were excluded from study. Following investigations were done:

i) Hb  
ii) T & D  
iii) Band Cell Count  
iv) Thrombocyte  
v) Blood Culture: Cases were confirmed by positive blood culture

**Total (TLC) and Differential Counts (DLC)**

All the samples were collected within 24 hours of birth. There was no antibiotic administration before collection of samples. Blood cultures were then prepared under fully aseptic conditions.

**Toxic Granules**

These were identified as coarse darkly stained granules irregularly distributed throughout the cytoplasm of the neutrophils.

**Band Cells**

Granulocytes with sausage of band form nucleus were identified as band cells. The chromatin was coarse resembling that of the most mature cells. The abundant cytoplasm with the nucleo cytoplasmic ratio was about 1 to 2.

**Shift to Left – Shift to Right**

This labeling was done as per the Arneth’s classification ‘Shift to the left’ meant an increase in single and bilobed (and thus younger forms) form while ‘shift to right’ implied an increase in the older forms.

**Hematological Scoring System**

A score of ‘1’ is given to 7 items (I/T ratio, I/M ratio, abnormal no. of leukocytes, neutropenia, presence of immature cells, thrombocytopenia, presence of dohle bodies or toxic granules). A total score of 3 or more is considered to be screen positive.

**Blood Culture**

Blood samples were collected within 24 hours of birth. There was no antibiotic administration before collection of samples. Blood cultures were then prepared under fully aseptic conditions.

**RESULTS**

The results of the haematological tests and their correlation for early onset septicaemia (EOS) were as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
<th>Culture negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>30(10%)</td>
<td>50(16.6%)</td>
<td>80(26.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>18(6%)</td>
<td>202(67.3%)</td>
<td>220(73.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48(16%)</td>
<td>252(84%)</td>
<td>300(100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 62.5%; Specificity: 80.15%; Positive predictive value: 37.5%; Negative predictive value: 91.8%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
<th>Culture negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24(8%)</td>
<td>24(8%)</td>
<td>48(16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>24(8%)</td>
<td>228(76%)</td>
<td>252(84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48(16%)</td>
<td>252(84%)</td>
<td>300(100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 90.4%; Specificity: 50%; Positive predictive value: 90%; Negative predictive value: 90.4%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
<th>Culture negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>38(12.6%)</td>
<td>76(25.3%)</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>10(3.33%)</td>
<td>176(58.6%)</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48(16%)</td>
<td>252(84%)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 79.1%; Specificity: 69.8%; Positive predictive value: 33.3%; Negative predictive value: 94.6%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
<th>Culture negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 93.75%; Specificity: 98.8%; Positive predictive value: 93.75%; Negative predictive value: 98.8%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
<th>Culture negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>43(14.33%)</td>
<td>126(50%)</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>5(1.6%)</td>
<td>126(50%)</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48(16%)</td>
<td>252(84%)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 89.5%; Specificity: 50 %; Positive predictive value: 25.4 %; Negative predictive value: 96.1%
**DISCUSSION**

Bacteremia is a common cause of mortality and morbidity among neonates. It has been suggested that a combination of hematological and biochemical tests may provide a more rapid and accurate diagnosis of bacteremia and use of a septic 'screen' may reduce amount of antibiotic use.\(^1\,5\)

As there is immaturity of both cellular and humoral immune systems in neonates, the susceptibility to infection and its rapid spread is more in newborns.\(^6\) Because of functional immaturity newborns usually fail to keep pace with the process of WBC destruction and usually presents with leucopenia. Parida reported 25% of cases having leucopenia.\(^7\) In Namdeo series of 50 cases, 40% had leucopenia.\(^8\) Kite reported that predictive value of leucopenia is poor (27%) but it may be high or low in 89% of newborns having bacteremia.\(^9\) Chandra et al found it less sensitive, highly specific and moderately predictive.\(^10\)

According to literature band cell count is specifically significant in first week of life. Kite et al, Chandra et al and Kennedy et al found it significantly changed in infective states.\(^8\,10\,11\) A rise in band cells count shows migration of white blood cells from their storage compartment irrespective of stage of maturation. Namdeo et al reported that B/N ratio significantly alters in septicemia.

Toxic granulations showed not only association with septicemia state but it has also been incriminated as bad prognostic sign.\(^12\) It has been suggested that toxic granulations may be a result of defective function and decreased bacteriological activity.

For the purpose of present study newborns were considered infected only when there was positive bacteriological evidence. Sensitivity and positive predictive value of rapid diagnostic parameters had been evaluated in relation to bacteriologial septicemia. Band cells (Sensitivity:62.5, Specificity: 80.15%, Positive predictive value: 37.5%, Negative predictive value: 91.8%, Increase/ decreased WBCs (Sensitivity: 90.4%, Specificity:50%, Positive predictive value:90%, Negative predictive value: 90.4%), neutropenia (Sensitivity: 79.1%, Specificity: 69.8%, Positive predictive value:33.3%, Negative predictive value: 94.6%),I/M ratio (Sensitivity: 93.75%, Specificity: 98.8%, Positive predictive value: 93.75%, Negative predictive value: 98.8%), I/T ratio (Sensitivity: 89.5, Specificity: 50%, Positive predictive value: 25.4%, Negative predictive value: 96.1%), Thrombocytopenia (Sensitivity: 79.1%, Specificity: 59.9%, Positive predictive value: 27.3%, Negative predictive value: 93.7%), Toxic granules (Sensitivity: 75%, Specificity: 83.33%, Positive predictive value: 45.5%, Negative predictive value: 94.5%) were considered useful hematological indices for early diagnosis of neonatal septicemia. Most of the patients had two or more positive tests. In this study, Hematological scoring system had the sensitivity: 95.8%, specificity: 73.8%, PPV:41%, NPV: 98.9%. This data is supported by Robyn, Rodwell, Anton L. Leslie, David, Tudhope. They found that likelihood of sepsis with score > 3 was 31%. With score < 2 likelihood of sepsis absent was 99.\(^12\)

**CONCLUSION**

The combination of haematological tests and haematological scoring system with clinical profile is helpful in early diagnosis as well as treatment of neonatal sepsis. Haematological profile may be used as a simple, easy, cheap and quick / rapid adjunct for the diagnosis of clinically suspected cases of early neonatal sepsis.

**REFERENCES**


Deepika Sharma & Ashish Batham. Correlation of Haematological Profile & Early Onset of Infections in Neonates


Source of Support: Nil.
Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.