Cytological Study of Cervical Lymph Nodes with Clinico-Radiological Correlation in Adults
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ABSTRACT
Objective: The spectrum of lesions diagnosed by fine needle aspiration cytology with routine & special stains and it’s correlation with radiological findings.

Materials & Methods: The study is performed on a total of hundred (100) patients over duration from February 2017 to September 2018. The data was collected in cytopathology section of TMMC & RC from the patients referred from OPDs or was admitted through the period of study.

Results: Among 100 FNAC cases, 36(36%) had Necrotizing Granulomatous Lymphadenitis, 23(23%) had Granulomatous Lymphadenitis, 20(20%) had Reactive Lymphadenopathy, 6% had suppurative lymphadenitis & 2% had Necrotic Lymph node, 10(10%) had Metastatic Squamous cell carcinoma, 2(2%) had Hodgkin Lymphoma, 1(1%) had Non – Hodgkin Lymphoma.

Conclusion: Our study concluded that FNAC is a minimally invasive, cost effective and rapid diagnostic tool. When used in conjunction with radiology for the diagnosis of lymphadenopathy FNAC can be a cost effective method.
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INTRODUCTION
Lymph nodes are natural defence system. Lymph nodes in cervical region are prone to various pathological entities like infection, inflammation & tumours.¹ The causes of cervical lymphadenopathy are varied, and may be inflammatory, degenerative, or neoplastic.² Cervical lymph nodes upto the age of 12 years upto 1cm in size in children are palpable but this may not signify any disease.³ Tubercular lymphadenitis is the most frequent cause of cervical lymphadenopathy in developing nations like India.⁴ Radiologically lymph nodes show calcifications, variability in size & shape, having cystic, solid or mixed component & variable echogenicity. Hence this study was planned to study the spectrum of lesions of cervical lymph nodes on FNAC & to correlate it with it’s radiological findings.

Persistent enlargement of the lymph node necessitates detailed investigations to reveal an underlying pathology.⁵ Fine needle aspiration cytology is a well-established method for the early diagnosis of disease of the lymph nodes.⁶ For the rapid diagnosis of cervical lymphadenopathy FNAC is a simple & accurate procedure with minimum trauma & low cost.

MATERIALS & METHODS
The current study is a prospective study performed on a total of hundred (100) patients over duration from February 2017 to September 2018. The data was collected in cytopathology section of TMMC & RC from the patients referred from OPDs or indoor during the period of study.

The clinical data includes patient’s lab number, age, sex, site of lymphadenopathy, size & duration. Radiological examination was performed & findings were noted down. After explaining the procedure written consent was taken .The swelling aspiration was taken by pulling the piston moving back & forth with the help of standard disposable 22 gauge needles. Smears were air dried & wet fixed. Dried smears were stained by May Grunwald Giemsa & wet fixed smears were stained by Hematoxylin and eosin and special stains were applied wherever needed. FNAC aspirates were examined.

These findings were correlated with the radiology. Cases where the size of lymph node was too small were subjected to USG guided aspiration.
Table 1: Showing distribution of cases according to final diagnosis on cytology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FNAC</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granulomatous Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotic Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing Granulomatous Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppurative lymphadenitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Malignancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Malignancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic SCC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Showing distribution of cases into different categories radiologically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiological diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infective etiology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubercular Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotic lymphadenitis</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomatous Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**RESULTS**

The study population consisted of 100 cases out of which 55 were males and 45 were females, 44(44.0%) were from 18-25 years age group, 32(32.0%) from 26-35 years and 24 (24.0%) subjects from above 35 years age group. The duration of lesions was found to be 0-1 month among 33 (33.0%), 1-3 months among 37 (37.0%), 3-6 months among 20 (20.0%) and above 6 months among 10 (10.0%) subjects. The size of lesions was less than 3 cm among 79 (79.0%) and 3-6 cm among 21 (21.0%) subjects. Majority of the patients showed granulomatous lymphadenitis (n=59). Among these patients 23 presented with granulomatous lymphadenitis & 36 showed features of necrosis i.e necrotizing granulomatous lymphadenitis. 13 cases showed malignant lesions i.e 3 primary & 10 secondary. On Radiological diagnosis, Infective etiology was found among 6 (6.0%), Reactive Lymphadenopathy among 36 (36.0%), Tubercular lymphadenitis among 38 (38.0%), Nercrotic lymphadenitis among 16 (16.0%), Lymphomatous lymphadenopathy among 3(3.0%) & Metastatic lymphadenopathy among 1(1%) cases.

In the present study, we compared cytological findings of 100 cases with their respective radiological findings. Out of 100 cases diagnosed cytologically, 75 cases were found to be in correlation with radiological findings, however 25 cases were found to be in discordance.

Among these 25 cases of discordance 16 cases which were diagnosed reactive on radiology found to be granulomatous and necrotizing granulomatous 13 cases and 3 cases respectively on cytology, 9 cases which were found to be necrotic on radiology was turned out to be metastatic on cytology which further changed the diagnosis, management and prognosis of disease.

**DISCUSSION**

FNAC has been recommended as a first line screening method in suspected malignancy.7 Since FNAC is a simple procedure with minimum trauma & low cost with no complications therefore it plays an important role in the diagnosis of primary or the secondary malignancies especially in developing nations like India.8,10 even in cases where malignancy is not suspected clinically & when lymph nodes are undetectable clinically the use of special investigations like USG guided FNAC is useful to detect malignancy.11 In our study primary sites of lymphadenopathy in every lymph node faction correlated with previous comparable studies.12-14 In our study population maximum cases belonged to 18-25 years age group (n=44) followed by 25-35 years (n=32) and 35-45 years (n=24). This is comparable to the study by Jyoti P. et al.15 Bhavani et al.16 Swapnil More et al.17 who also had maximum number of cases in similar age groups.

Gender ratio is analogous to Sravani P et al.18 Jyoti P et al.19 in which males were more affected than females. However this is in contrast with Priya R et al.19 reported in the South Coastal Region of India, Swapnil More et al.17 Attaulah et al.20 where females showed the higher frequency of cervical lymphadenopathy.

In present study, 13% (13) lesions were neoplastic and 87% (87) were non-neoplastic, of which, 20.0%(20) were Reactive lymphadenopathy, 6%(6) cases were Suppurative lymphadenitis, 23.0%(23) showed Granulomatous Lymphadenitis, 2.0%(2) were diagnosed as Nercrotic Lymphadenitis and 36.0%(36) showed Necrotizing Granulomatous Lymphadenitis. These findings are similar to Ahmad et al.21 & Jyoti P et al.15 however the present study is in contrast with with Chawla et al.22, Qadri et al.23 & Priya R. et al.19 where reactive lymphadenitis was the most common cause of cervical lymphadenopathy.

**Table 4: Showing Comparison of various lesions with other studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Non-Neoplastic %</th>
<th>Neoplastic %</th>
<th>Most Common lesion</th>
<th>No. of cases %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad et al.</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tubercular Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla et al.</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Reactive Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qadri et al.</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>Reactive Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priya R et al.</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>Reactive Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>34.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jyoti P et al.</td>
<td>88.02</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>Tubercular Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>55.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tubercular Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Showing Comparison of malignant lymphadenopathy with other studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Primary Malignancy %</th>
<th>Secondary Malignancy %</th>
<th>Total malignancies (no/total cases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad et al.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameya Buli et al.</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>74/1067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arul p et al.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>62/497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jyoti P.</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>140/1195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13/100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Comparison of statistical distribution of present study with other studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>DA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nesreen et al.</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priya R et al.</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arul P et al.</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>82.50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The present study is similar in findings with the study by Dukare et al., Shankar N. Baji et al., Maheshwari et al., Sachin A. Badge et al., Deepshikha Dave et al., Malhotra AS et al. also showed similar results with tubercular lymphadenitis as most common followed by reactive lymphadenopathy and metastatic lesions. This was contrasting to the study by Kalyana Chandra et al. in which Metastatic carcinomas (93) was most common followed by Reactive lymphadenopathy (82) and granulomatous lymphadenitis (67) and Sheema Sheik et al. in which reactive lymphadenopathy (447) was the most common (91) diagnosis followed by metastatic tumors.

In present study malignant lymphadenopathy was seen in 13% cases among which secondary malignancy (10%) was more common than primary malignancy (3%) which is similar to Ahmad et al., Ameya Buli et al., Arul P et al., Jyoti P et al. Among the secondary malignancy metastatic squamous cell carcinoma was more common which is in contrast with Ghartimagar et al. where adenocarcinoma was predominant malignant lymphadenopathy followed by metastatic SCC and comparable to the study by Baji et al. and Sravana P et al. where metastatic SCC was also the predominant malignant lymphadenopathy seen.

In our study, Sensitivity for FNAC to diagnose cervical lesion is 85.00%, Specificity 70.00%, Positive Predictive Value 82.50%, Negative Predictive Value 75.00% and Accuracy as 78.5%. This is comparable to the study by Nesreen et al. overall sensitivity of FNAC was 90.9%, specificity 67.2%, positive predictive value 82.6%, negative predictive value 81.3% & the overall diagnostic accuracy was 82.2%. Priya R et al. the sensitivity, specificity & diagnostic accuracy of FNAC was 97%, 95% and 96% respectively and Arul P. et al. sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value, and negative predictive values of FNAC were 82.4%, 98.1%, 95.2%, 90.3%, and 96.2%.

CONCLUSION
FNAC is a minimally invasive, cost-effective and rapid diagnostic tool. It has a very high degree of patient acceptance because it does not cause any unsightly scars, inconvenient incision lines. It is an invaluable sensitive tool for the detection of malignant neck lesions and equally effective in the diagnosis of non-malignant lesions. FNAC when used in conjunction with radiology for the diagnosis of lymphadenopathy FNAC can be a cost-effective method. If the clinically suspected disease do not correlates with FNAC findings then appropriate diagnostic work-up for the disease is performed. It should be noted that FNAC negative findings or results does not rule out malignancy. And for the better results there should be a closed communication between a referring doctor & cytologist. The present study demonstrates that FNAC is a rapid, safe and valuable tool in the diagnosis of cervical lymphadenopathy.

The accuracy and success of FNAC depends mostly on some elementary factors like adequate aspirate from representative site and it’s proper processing for evaluation. The increasing use of more sophisticated techniques has improved the potential to make precise specific diagnoses.
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